Chapter 4

Ekman layer transports, Ekman pumping, and the Sverdrup balance

Geostrophic flow, or the flow produced by a balance between the pressure gradient force and the Coriolis force, is frictionless flow. But momentum from the wind field is transferred into the ocean by friction; so frictional forces must be important in the surface boundary layer. The dynamics of this layer are best understood if it is assumed initially that the ocean interior is at rest, i.e. all isopycnal (and, as a consequence, all isobaric) surfaces are flat and steric height is constant everywhere. The balance of forces in the surface layer is then purely between the frictional force which transports momentum downwards, and the Coriolis force.

Ekman layer transports

The details of the flow in the surface layer, known as the Ekman drift after its first investigator, are complicated and depend on detailed knowledge of the coefficients of turbulent mixing. If fluctuations of periods shorter than a day are eliminated it is found that the current moves at an angle to the wind, turning further away from the wind direction and becoming weaker with depth. (Any of the text books mentioned in earlier chapters can be consulted for a description of the Ekman layer.) For the purpose of regional oceanography it is important to note that for a determination of total transport in the surface layer, knowledge about the details of the turbulent mixing process is not necessary. Theoretical analysis gives a relationship between the wind stress and the depth-integrated flow that develops in response to the wind which we formulate as

Rule 3: The wind-driven component of transport in the surface boundary or Ekman layer is directed perpendicular to the mean wind stress, to the left of the wind stress in the southern hemisphere and to the right in the northern hemisphere.

The magnitude of the Ekman layer transport M_e is given by:

$$|\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{e}}| = |\boldsymbol{\tau}/f| \quad . \tag{4.1}$$

Here, M_e is the wind-generated mass transport per unit width integrated over the depth of the Ekman layer, with dimensions kg m⁻¹ s⁻¹; τ is the wind stress, and f the Coriolis parameter introduced earlier. The unit width is measured across the transport direction, i.e. in the direction of the wind stress. The difference between the wind stress direction and the direction of M_e develops because the Coriolis force acts perpendicular to the water movement; Rule 3 ensures that it opposes the wind stress, and eqn (4.1) ensures that it balances it in magnitude.

If we now relax the initial assumption of flat isopycnal surfaces, a pressure gradient force will be present throughout the water column, up to the surface, and geostrophic flow will develop. M_e is then the additional, non-geostrophic transport in the Ekman layer. As with

Ekman transport and Sverdrup balance 41

monsoon. Along most eastern boundaries (box E) the wind stress is directed equatorward; Ekman transport is directed offshore but cannot come out of the coast - again, upwelling must occur. Near western boundaries the dynamics are governed by the western boundary currents; Ekman layer dynamics are often strongly modified, or negligible, in these regions.



Fig. 4.1. Illustration of Ekman transport and Ekman pumping, based on the eastern Pacific Ocean. Full arrows indicate the wind, open arrows the Ekman transport resulting from the corresponding wind stress. Note the change of direction of the Ekman transport relative to the wind across the equator and the decrease of the Ekman transport, for equivalent wind stresses, with distance from the equator. Box A is between the Trade Winds and the Westerlies in the northern hemisphere; the Ekman transports at the northern and southern edges point into the box. The mean wind stresses along the east and west sides of the box do not add or subtract much to the transport budget. The result is net inflow into the box (convergence), or Ekman pumping and downwelling. Box B is the mirror image of A in the southern hemisphere; the Ekman transports are to the left of the wind, and there is again net inflow (convergence), or Ekman pumping and downwelling. Box C is located south of the maximum Westerlies; the Ekman transport is stronger at the northern edge than at the southern because the wind stress decreases towards the south. There is small net outflow (divergence), or Ekman suction and upwelling. Box D is on the equator. The winds stress does not vary much across the box, but the Coriolis parameter is small and changes sign across the equator, giving opposite directions for the Ekman transport north and south of the equator. The result is very large net outflow (divergence), or Ekman suction and upwelling. Box E is near an eastern coastline in the Trade Wind region. The wind stress is equatorward along the coast; the Ekman transport is directed offshore at the western edge but zero at the coast. The result is net outflow (divergence), or Ekman suction and upwelling.

pdf version 1.1 (October 2004)

The Sverdrup balance

We now return to the question raised at the end of the last chapter: What replenishes the bulges in the subtropical thermocline, seen (for example) in the temperature distribution of Fig 2.5 at 500 m depth? The answer is found by combining the dynamics of the ocean interior and western boundary currents with the dynamics of the Ekman layer.

It was shown in the last chapter that in the absence of forcing, thermocline bulges in a $1^{1}/_{2}$ layer ocean move westward at Rossby wave speed. We obtained an equation for the bulge movement, or local depth variation of the thermocline:

$$\partial H / \partial t = (\beta g / f^2 (y)) (\Delta \rho / \rho_0) H \partial H / \partial x$$
 (4.3)

Bulge movement is a consequence of geostrophic transport convergence of anticyclonic eddies in the west and divergence in the east as shown in Figure 3.4, so eqn (4.3) can be seen as a balance equation for the convergence of the flow field. Now, the bulges seen in Figure 2.5c represent the annual mean, i.e. a steady state; so we must have $\partial H/\partial t = 0$. We achieve this by adding the convergence of the Ekman transport (Ekman pumping). The change in thermocline depth produced by Ekman pumping is the vertical velocity at the base of the Ekman layer. Taking this quantity from eqn (4.2) and adding it to eqn (4.3) gives the steady state balance as

$$(\beta g / f^{2}(y)) (\Delta \rho / \rho_{o}) H \partial H / \partial x = \operatorname{curl} (\boldsymbol{\tau} / \rho_{o} f) .$$
(4.4)

We can consider the right-hand side as continuously generating new bulges or depressions, which then migrate westwards as Rossby waves. The net effect is that in the steady state, an east-west gradient of H is set up which is in geostrophic balance, and that the mass divergence associated with this gradient matches the Ekman pumping convergence. The fact that energy propagates westward is no longer obvious in the steady state; but it does occur - the intense western boundary currents in each ocean are in fact dissipating the energy that has been fed into the ocean interior from the wind field by Ekman pumping. Because the energy which is fed into these narrow currents is the result of accumulation of energy from the wind field over the entire ocean surface, the western boundary currents are very intense, jet-like features. They achieve energy dissipation through bottom friction on the shelf and slope, and by spawning eddies and ejecting them into the open ocean.

Equation (4.4) is one form of an equation known as the *Sverdrup relation* for the $1^{1/2}$ layer ocean. It was derived by Hans Ulrik Sverdrup and allows us to determine H everywhere for any ocean basin from a knowledge of H at its eastern boundary. (Knowledge of H at the western boundary is not of much use, since the Sverdrup relation includes only geostrophy and Ekman layer dynamics and does not apply to the western boundary currents.) We note that the right-hand side of eqn (4.4) is directly obtainable from the wind stress data. In other words, the depth variation of the thermocline, one of the key features of the oceans, can be determined from atmospheric observations alone! The left hand side of eqn (4.4), on the other hand, contains the essence of regional oceanography, namely the observations of temperature and salinity. In the $1^{1/2}$ layer model which we used to simplify our description of ocean dynamics, the role of the observations is reduced to a determination of thermocline depth. In the more realistic case of a continuously stratified ocean the Sverdrup relation takes on the form (on multiplying both sides by f^2 / β)

flows about 500 km southward and returns to the Indian Ocean. In reality, such intense flows which pass through an open ocean region are unstable, particularly when they "double back" on themselves as in Figure 4.4 southwest of South Africa; the real Agulhas Current outflow turns eastward to join the Antarctic Circumpolar Current, as seen in Figures 4.5 and 4.6. The chapters on the Indian Ocean will take this up further.

The outflows from the western boundary currents of the North Atlantic and North Pacific Oceans are also not well represented by the model derived from the wind data. Both are underestimated in magnitude; and the Gulf Stream separation occurs near Labrador, rather than at its observed location near Cape Hatteras in the northern USA. However, considering the simplicity of the concept of Ekman pumping, Rossby wave propagation, and the Sverdrup balance as the result of an equilibrium between these two phenomena, it is pleasing to see to what large degree the atmospheric and oceanographic data sets available today confirm its validity. The model certainly accounts for a considerable fraction of what we see in the depth-integrated flow of the world ocean. The plan for the World Ocean Circulation Experiment (Figure 2.4) is therefore based on the concept that a systematic survey of temperature and salinity, augmented by direct current measurements through moorings in regions where the Sverdrup relation does not hold, will result in a reliable description of the oceanic circulation.

The stream function and depth-integrated transports

Without doubt, depth-integrated steric height P is the best quantity to test the Sverdrup relation. Unfortunately, it does not provide the most convenient visualization of the flow field on oceanic scales, since its distribution combines variations of depth-integrated flow with the latitude-dependence of the Coriolis parameter. A better representation is achieved by introduction of a stream function which eliminates f from the picture. The direction of the total net transport between the assumed depth of no motion and the surface is then indicated by the direction of the streamlines, and its magnitude is inversely proportional to the distance between them. Because there cannot be any flow through the coast, coastlines are streamlines by definition. If the coast is continuous it coincides with a single streamline, i.e. the value of the stream function along the coast is the same. It is also possible to estimate the flow around major islands such as Australia and New Zealand and allocate stream function values to their coasts (Godfrey, 1989).

If we neglect the small flow from the Arctic into the north Pacific Ocean and consider Bering Strait closed, the world ocean is surrounded by a single continuous coastline (formed by the Asian, African and American continents) and contains only few large islands (Antarctica, Greenland, Iceland, Australia, New Zealand, Madagascar). Figure 4.7 shows the volume transport stream function for this continuous domain. Since the stream function calculation is based on Sverdrup dynamics it can only proceed starting from eastern boundaries and extend to the outer edges of the western boundary currents. The differences in stream function values across the gap near the western coastlines give the transport of the western boundary currents. Details of the depth-integrated flow near the equator that are masked in Figure 4.4 by the variation of the Coriolis parameter (in particular the sign change of f across the equator) come out clearly in the stream function map. The North Equatorial Countercurrent, flowing eastward between 5° and 15°N in the North Pacific Ocean, is seen as a major feature. The corresponding feature in the South Pacific Ocean is extremely weak and restricted to an area south-east of Papua New Guinea. A strong counter-



Ekman transport and Sverdrup balance 47

Fig. 4.5. Depth-integrated steric height *P*, from the left side of the Sverdrup relation (eqn (4.5)), using the data from Levitus (1982), for a depth of no motion of 1500 m. Units are 10^3 m^2 .



Fig. 4.6. Depth-integrated steric height *P*, from the left side of the Sverdrup relation (eqn (4.5)), using the data from Levitus (1982) for a depth of no motion of 2500 m. Units are 10^3 m^2 .

pdf version 1.1 (October 2004)

Ekman transport and Sverdrup balance 49

poleward transport of heat. To give an example, a simple calculation with the winds of Figure 1.2 shows that in the north Pacific Ocean the northward Ekman transport across the southern edge of the subtropical gyre at about 16°N (the position of the strongest Trades) amounts to some 28 Sv, with equal and opposite (and colder) geostrophic flow below. Similarly, there is a net poleward Ekman flux of 29 Sv across the northern edge of the south Pacific subtropical gyre at about 14°S, again compensated by equal and opposite geostrophic flow of lower mean temperature, so that heat is transported poleward here also. Thus the simple wind-driven circulation of Figure 4.7 which gives such a good illustration of the use of Sverdrup dynamics can be very misleading when it comes to a discussion of the amount of heat carried by the ocean.



Fig. 4.8. Annual mean of eddy energy in the ocean as observed by satellite altimeter during December 1986 – November 1987. The eddies are detected by measuring the shape of the sea surface, which bulges downward in cyclonic and upward in anticyclonic eddies as explained in Figures 2.7 and 3.3. The quantity shown is the standard deviation of observed sea level (cm) from the mean sea level over the observation period. From Fu *et al.* (1988).

This concludes our discussion of ocean transport dynamics. A legitimate question at this point could be: If we go out to sea on a research vessel and make some observations, to which degree can we expect to see our picture of the large oceanic gyres and associated currents confirmed by the new data? A first answer is of course that Figures 4.5 and 4.6 are based on observations; they should therefore reflect the real world. A second answer is that they are based on annual mean data distributions. The question is then, can we expect to find the annual mean situation if we go out to sea and take a snap shot of the temperature and salinity distribution?

The answer is - yes, if we make our study area large enough. A synoptic survey of the subtropical north Atlantic Ocean would show the subtropical gyre. However, superimposed we would find a wealth of other structures, mainly eddies of all shapes and sizes. If we